

List of Proposed Amendments to 2022-2023 Council Rules and Decorum

Page 3 - IV.A.i: Deleted	Lindsey
citizen	
Page 3 - IV.A.i: Inserted	Lindsey
public	
Page 3 - IV.A.i: Comment	Lindsey
Suggest changing to public and if appropriate including a definition of “public.” The goal is to better communicate to the public (residents, taxpayers, and business owners) who is allowed to speak at these meetings (not limited to “citizens”)	
Page 3- IV.A.ii: Deleted	Lindsey
citizen	
Page 3- IV.A.ii: Inserted	Lindsey
public	
Page 3- IV.A.ii: Comment	Lindsey
See earlier note re: clarity on who is allowed to speak.	
Page 3 - IV.A.iii: Deleted	Lindsey
citizen	
Page 3 - IV.A.iii: Inserted	Lindsey
public	
Page 3 - IV.A.iii: Comment	Lindsey
See earlier note re: clarity on who is allowed to speak.	
Page 4 - VI.D: Comment]	Lindsey
Would it be appropriate to also include a list of workshop topics (when known) on the City Council website or somewhere more easily viewable so members of the public can also plan ahead (like what is released for the budget workshops)? Not sure if that would need to be listed here too or if it can just happen?	
Page 5 - V.D: Deleted	Lindsey
citizen	
Page 5 - V.D: Inserted	Lindsey
public	
Page 5 - V.D: Comment]	Lindsey
See earlier note re: clarity on who is allowed to speak.	
Page 5 -V.D: Inserted	Lindsey
Members of the public	

Citizens

A.

See earlier note re: clarity on who is allowed to speak.

Do we need to have an explanation of “designated representative” and “recognized”

Designated representative = owner, director or equivalent role or individual acting on their behalf (with explicit permission)

Recognized = ?

Do we need to formally note that a speaker may request a suspension of the rules to speak out of order (i.e. DTU president requested to speak as a non-resident, did she need to request suspension or could she have spoken as the head of a recognized civic organization?)

Slightly different language has been used to open hearings/forum during the last term. I suggest that this language be what is read for consistency and clarity about who is allowed to speak.

I think a discussion on what we agree constitutes an address would be helpful. I am comfortable with just listing your Ward or Ward and street. Listing an actual address can be a barrier to some wishing to speak but not wishing their address to be announced publicly.

Should we encourage all to submit in writing for the record?

Is this the time where we as Councilors would need to ask that certain emails/calls be noted for the record?

Citizen

A.

Public

A.

Suggest we rename it to Public Forum, Public Input Session, Public Input Forum or something similar. I suggest a renaming for two reasons:

- 1) As noted earlier, citizen's forum can be misconstrued regarding who can speak
- 1) Renaming may help encourage participation with just general ideas about how the City can improve. For example,

Page 6: Deleted	Lindsey
------------------------	----------------

citizens

Page 6: Inserted	Lindsey
-------------------------	----------------

the public

Page 6: Deleted	Lindsey
------------------------	----------------

citizens

Page 6: Inserted	Lindsey
-------------------------	----------------

members of the public

Page 6: Inserted	Lindsey
-------------------------	----------------

(see Section V.D. above)

Page 6: Deleted	Lindsey
------------------------	----------------

Citizen

Page 6: Deleted	Lindsey
------------------------	----------------

citizens

Page 6: Inserted	Lindsey
-------------------------	----------------

that members of the public

Page 6: Commented [L13]	Lindsey
--------------------------------	----------------

See comment in section V.D. re: this language

Page 6: Commented [L14]	Lindsey
--------------------------------	----------------

See comment in section V.D. re: this language

Page 6: Deleted	Lindsey
------------------------	----------------

Citizen

Page 6: Inserted	Lindsey
-------------------------	----------------

The

Page 9: Commented [L15]	Lindsey
--------------------------------	----------------

Seems we need clarification between this language and the language about the role of the ordinance committee.

Page 9: Commented [L16]	Lindsey
--------------------------------	----------------

Is this intended to be specific to resolutions or should it also say "or ordinance"?

Page 10: Commented [L17]	Lindsey
---------------------------------	----------------

Suggest clarifying that Clerk is not required to give hard copies to a Councilor who requests no printed copies.

Also, likely not needed here, but can we have a policy that documents over x pages are not printed (for example, some of the long CWDAC docs seemed like a huge waste to print out in hard copy when we could get the electronic ones to save paper). Also, seems like black and white would be sufficient for most materials to save on printing? If it's not substantial, I don't feel strongly, this just seems like a way we can start to move away from printing everything.

Page 11: Deleted Lindsey

Citizen's

Page 11: Inserted Lindsey

Public

Page 11: Commented [L18] Lindsey

See earlier comment / options for name

Page 13: Deleted Lindsey

Citizen's

Page 13: Inserted Lindsey

Public

Page 13: Commented [L19] Lindsey

See earlier comment / options for name

Page 13: Deleted Lindsey

Citizen's

Page 13: Inserted Lindsey

Public

Page 13: Commented [L20] Lindsey

See earlier comment / options for name

Page 13: Commented [L21] Lindsey

Possible discussion of alignment between Agenda setting section, this section, and Ordinance Committee role.

Page 15: Inserted Lindsey

members of the public

Page 15: Commented [L22] Lindsey

For consistency/clarity, we have members of committees who are not residents of Dover. Alternatively this could read "residents" which is an implicit acknowledgement that some boards allow non-residents?

Page 15: Deleted Lindsey

citizens

Page 15: Deleted **Lindsey**

citizen

Page 15: Deleted **Lindsey**

citizen

Page 15: Deleted **Lindsey**

citizen

Page 16: Deleted **Lindsey**

Page 16: Deleted **Lindsey**

citizen

Page 16: Commented [L23] **Lindsey**

We do this verbally now, should the section be revised to reflect that?

Page 17: Commented [L24] **Lindsey**

Have we be misusing suspension of the rules to allow non-residents to speak in the public forum? Is it only for agenda items? Does this section need to be clarified?

Page 21: Deleted **Lindsey**

citizens

Page 21: Inserted **Lindsey**

residents

Page 21: Deleted **Lindsey**

citizen

Page 21: Deleted **Lindsey**

citizen

Page 21: Commented [L25] **Lindsey**

Suggest renaming in the future for consistency

Page 21: Deleted **Lindsey**

Citizens

Page 21: Inserted **Lindsey**

Members of the public

Page 22: Deleted **Lindsey**

citizens

individuals
