

WPS Addition & Renovation Joint Building Committee
Meeting #14
October 19, 2006
Dover, New Hampshire 03820

- A. CALL TO ORDER:** A meeting of the GES Phase II & WPS Addition and Renovations Joint Building Committee was called to order on Thursday, October 19, 2006 at 6:00 p.m. at Woodman Park Elementary School's library.
- B. ROLL CALL:** Present were Jason Hindle, Catherine Cheney, Doris Grady, Mark Geuther, Citizen Representative; and Ray Bardwell, Citizen Representative. Also present were John O'Connor, Superintendent; Laurie Verville, Business Manager; Patrick Boodey, Principal of WPS; Michael Bliss, Clerk of the Works; Gary Goudreau, Goudreau & Associates; and Steve Silver, Goudreau & Associates. Carolyn Mebert arrived late due do a previous commitment.
- C. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES:** Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments regarding the October 3rd meeting minutes. Mr. Geuther stated that there was a correction under section "I - Payment of Bills". He stated that what he asked the question, ". . . if we were going to go over and above \$15,000 for testing;" he meant to ask whether, "the payments being approved, are they over and above the \$15,000 just appropriated under section G?" Mr. Hindle asked if there was any other changes and, hearing none, asked for a motion of approval.

Catherine Cheney moved, Ray Bardwell seconded, approval of the October 3, 2006 meeting minutes.
An oral **VOTE PASSED: 5/0**

- D. SAMPLE PANEL OF BRICKS:** Mr. McBey stated he was a little confused on what we were looking for. He knew that two samples were chosen a few months back and thought that we wanted a sample panel of just the cheaper costing brick. Mr. Goudreau stated that the committee asked to see a sample panel of both bricks. Mr. McBey asked if the committee was opposed to the lower cost brick. Mr. Goudreau mentioned that the JBC was looking for a sample of each brick to hold up against the current building to get as close a match as possible. Ms. Cheney asked what the difference in cost was. Mr. McBey stated that Glengarry was approximately \$2,000 less. Mr. Boodey mentioned that he didn't want to have the same issue that was at the high school when the new academy wing was added. He said that he received a lot of calls stating the bricks didn't match and looked terrible. He also asked if the bricks were sampled during daylight. Ms. Cheney mentioned that several months back, 6-8 different samples were held up against the school and that was how the current choices were made. Ms. Cheney asked how the samples would be made. Mr. Goudreau stated that BPS would buy enough bricks for a 4' x 4' panel. He also reminded everyone that the addition will not touch the existing building. He stated there couldn't be an exact match because of the age of the existing bricks and the coursing is not the same. He added that it could be a close match on the color, but wouldn't be able to match the bond. Mr. McBey stated that he would prepare two panels and asked when the JBC wanted to look at it. Mr. Goudreau stated as soon as they were ready, the committee could look at the samples at the next meeting. Mr. Boodey asked if the meeting would be held earlier in the day since daylight savings time would have begun and it will be dark outside at 6:00 p.m. It was agreed committee members would stop by during the day to make their decisions.
- E. REVIEW OF POLICY ON COMMUNICATIONS PROCEDURES FOR MEETINGS:** Mr. Hindle stated that Mr. Bardwell will be in Florida from November through March and these guidelines are solely for telephone conferences for the JBC on the WPS project. All correspondence to be discussed during a meeting will be provided to each and every voting member prior to the commencement of each meeting. For the purpose of Mr. Bardwell's absence, receipt of documents will be verified via telephone conference prior to discussion or vote. Documents to be discussed may also be scanned and emailed or faxed to Mr. Bardwell during a meeting. During the entire telephone conference call with JBC members and Mr. Bardwell, it will be imperative that all members and Mr. Bardwell acknowledge that all discussions are clearly heard and understood. If at any time a JBC

member expresses that the telephone conference process is not conducive to the membership, the telephone conference process will cease and an alternative method for conducting these meetings will be discussed. Ms. Cheney asked if Mr. Bardwell will be on a handset held phone while JBC members use a conference phone. Mr. Hindle stated that was correct and the meetings would be held at the SAU office. Mr. Boodey mentioned there are conferencing phones at Woodman Park. Ms. Verville stated she suggested the SAU office because there are scanning, emailing and faxing capabilities available there. Mr. Bardwell said he would be calling in because he has unlimited calling. Mr. Hindle asked if there was anything further. Hearing no comments, Mr. Hindle asked if all were in agreement with the guidelines.

An oral **VOTE PASSED: 5/1** (Mebert opposed).

Mr. Hindle requested a letter be drafted for his signature. Mr. Bardwell mentioned he would be in New Hampshire the first week of November, the later part of December, and the first week in January; and asked if it be easier to schedule meetings during these times. Committee members did not respond.

- F. CIP BALANCE:** Ms. Verville reported the balance of the FY 2006 CIP account as of October 13, 2006 is \$79,492.31. Ms. Verville mentioned she has earmarked this money as a reserve, unless directed by the JBC to do otherwise.

Expenditures to Date:	\$ 70,507.69
Obligations to Date:	<u>\$ 0.00</u>
Remaining balance is	\$ 79,492.31

Ms. Verville then reported the balance for the FY 2007 CIP Account as of October 13, 2006 is \$8,256,282.22; which includes \$15,000 approved/obligated at the October 3, 2006 meeting for John Turner Consulting for testing services.

Expenditures to Date:	\$ 241,789.49
Obligations to Date:	<u>\$ 501,928.29</u>
Remaining balance is:	\$8,256,282.22

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments regarding the CIP reports. Mr. Goudreau asked if the number for PSNH was the final number; and whether it took into account the rebate. Ms. Verville stated that it did not include the rebate so the number will grow by \$5,150.00 after receiving it. Mr. Hindle asked if there was anything else. Hearing no comments, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Catherine Cheney moved, Ray Bardwell seconded, approval of the CIP Financial Report. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

G. PAYMENT OF BILLS:

Mr. Hindle read the following payments into the record:

- a. Goudreau & Associates Architects Invoice #2006.02-07 dated 10/9/06 for \$28,145.30
Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.
Ray Bardwell moved, Mark Geuther, seconded approval to pay Goudreau & Associated in the amount of \$28,145.30. A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**
- b. RPF Associates, Inc. Invoice #06.2216.1 dated 09/29/06 for \$873.75
Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.
Catherine Cheney moved, Ray Bardwell seconded, approval to pay RPF Associates in the amount of \$873.75.
A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**
- c. John Turner Consulting Inc. Invoice #06-212-004 dated 10/2/06 for \$540.00

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Catherine Cheney moved, Ray Bardwell seconded, approval to pay John Turner Consulting in the amount of \$540. A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

d. RMS Electric LLC Invoice #8101 dated 8/26/06 for \$189.83

e. RMS Electric LLC Invoice #8120 dated 9/26/06 for \$2,682.08

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments. Mr. Geuther asked if the bill for \$189.83 was to install a telephone in the trailer. Ms. Verville said that we originally had Mr. Bliss set up in one of the old shower stalls because of the difficulty in finding him space. This amount was for running existing phone line, currently not being used, to the shower stall. She added that cell phones do not work in the building and she discussed with Mr. McBey the possibility of Mr. Bliss sharing space in BPS's trailer. However, that did not work due to space limitations. Mr. McBey had a separate trailer brought in which is currently being used by Mr. Bliss. Mr. Geuther asked if the cost of the second bill was to run the line to the new trailer. Ms. Verville stated that it was. She noted that after looking at the statement, the dates should read September 3rd thru September 22nd for services, instead of August 3rd thru September 22nd. Mr. Hindle asked if there were any other comments or questions. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Mark Geuther moved, Ray Bardwell seconded, approval to pay RMS Electric in the amount of \$2,897.91 for both bills. A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

f. Bonnette, Page & Stone Corp., App #1 dated 8/31/06 for \$133,944.00

g. Bonnette, Page & Stone Corp., App #2 dated 9/30/06 for \$271,468.00

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Ray Bardwell moved, Mark Geuther seconded, approval to pay BPS in the amount of \$405,412.00 for both bills. A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

H. PROGRESS REPORT BY BONNETTE, PAGE & STONE: Mr. McBey stated all is well at this time. There may be a small glitch with the local plumbing inspector. He mentioned that the slab for the bottom floor of the addition is ready to be put in place, but there will be a short wait for the steel and joists. Mr. McBey stated that he has asked for a split shipment, but has not heard back on whether they are going to do it. During the past week, Mr. Cooper made small openings in the ceiling in different areas of the building to look at what space is available to run the different cables for services throughout the building. Mr. Hindle asked when the steel would arrive. Mr. McBey said that the steel would arrive before the joists. Construction on the media center will begin soon and it is anticipated it will take 2-3 weeks. Mr. Bardwell asked what the anticipated date was to begin pouring the slab. Mr. McBey said some work needs to be done on the floor drains before the pouring can begin. He also mentioned that the sketches provided were for slab-on-grade only and did not include floor drains for the other two floors. Mr. Goudreau mentioned he has not heard back from RFS regarding the drain traps. He said his engineers are reviewing the code again because they are not reading it the same way as the plumbing inspector and he will give an update on October 20th. He mentioned Tom Malynowski's reading of the code was very different than Dean Miles. Ms. Mebert asked who Tom and Dean were. Mr. Goudreau said that Tom works for Eckhardt & Johnson and Dean Miles is the City of Dover's plumbing inspector who has the issue with the floor traps. Mr. Hindle asked if the JBC had to go with the city's code. Mr. Goudreau said that he did not want to override the city inspector. Although there is no amendment, he felt it would be best to try to work this out and he's asking RFS for their interpretation of the code. He does feel that there is a good point about the traps; if a toilet overflows, the floors do need to be protected and he can't fault the inspector for his view. Ms. Cheney asked what Mr. Hindle meant by, "not following code." Mr. Hindle said that during construction at GES, the JBC followed a different set of guidelines and schedule than the City. The JBC is different in the way it is run compared to other committees. Ms. Mebert mentioned having to be up to code in order to get a C.O. Mr. Hindle questioned following state or city logic. Ms. Grady asked if 30% state in building aid would still be received if city code wasn't followed. Mr. Geuther said that the city and state are the same code. The question is a matter of interpretation. Mr. Bardwell asked if Mr. Miles cleared the all drawings so the committee doesn't have to go through more issues down the line. Mr. Silver stated that he has not reviewed any of the other drawings. Mr. Goudreau said it would cost an additional \$6,600 for floor drains on the first floor. Mr. Bardwell asked if Mr.

Miles could sign off on all remaining drawings at the same time as approving the first set. Mr. Bardwell made a motion to, “have the city plumbing inspector’s assurance that he reviewed the plans for the addition and renovations for Woodman Park School and that he’s signing off that they are approved as drawn for Phase 1.” Ms. Grady said she would like an investigation on if it’s required that city code has to be followed because the JBC still needs to work with the inspector(s) to get these items resolved. Mr. Goudreau mentioned if the state gets involved, they are not able to come in on a regular basis (like the city’s) and the state will question motives. Mr. Goudreau said he was emailing Mr. Miles on another issue that was dropped because of a poor choice of wording. Mr. Goudreau also noted that Mr. Miles is following the wording in the ADA document and that is not what is used for reviewing codes. Mr. Hindle asked for a motion.

Ray Bardwell moved, Catherine Cheney seconded, that a letter be drafted to the city inspector asking for sign off on the rest of Phase 1 drawings for Woodman Park School. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 3/3** (Mebert, Grady, & Geuther opposed).

Ms. Cheney asked if the total cost was \$6,607 for the floor drains; Mr. McBey stated that it was the cost for the drains on the ground floor only. He also mentioned that payment is for the floor drains and prep work on the first level only. Mr. Bardwell asked what the cost difference would have been if drains were included in drawings in the beginning. Mr. McBey said he wasn’t sure what the exact cost would be, but it will be less than if the floor had already been poured. He added that the sketches were for slab-on-grade only and did not include floor drains on the other two floors. Mr. Hindle asked for a roll call vote on the motion presented by Mr. Bardwell for the city inspector to sign off on all remaining drawings. Ms. Mebert stated that even with the motion, it doesn’t mean that inspectors won’t come back for changes. Ms. Cheney said that it gives the JBC a little more to work with if there is a sign-off.

A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 4/2** (Mebert & Geuther opposed).

Mr. Hindle asked if for further questions or comments regarding the change order in the amount of \$6,607.00 for the floor drains. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion.

Catherine Cheney moved, Ray Bardwell seconded, approval of the \$6,607.00 for floor drains on the ground level of the addition. A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

Ms. Verville asked for clarification on the sketches attached to the proposal for the floor drains. If additional work needed on the upper floors, are more sketches going to follow. Mr. McBey stated that there would be additional sketches for the other two floors. Mr. Bardwell asked for an update on the roof. Mr. McBey asked to go over that near the end of the meeting. Ms. Grady asked to backtrack regarding the rules & regulations. She asked whether the JBC is an entity of its own and follows state guidelines. She also asked if the JBC has to go before the planning board for approval on changes. Mr. Hindle stated that the committee doesn’t have to go to the planning board; it’s done out of courtesy. Ms. Verville mentioned that the building aide program is a state level program and without a Certificate of Occupancy, the project would not receive any building aid monies. Ms. Mebert asked if it would be helpful to speak with Tom Clark. Mr. Goudreau said Mr. Clark won’t issue a C.O. if the electrical or plumbing inspector(s) don’t pass the work. Ms. Verville stated her belief that if the state was brought in, but the city inspectors have a viable reason to not issue the C.O. the state inspector would not overrule the decision. Mr. Goudreau said it would be hard to get the state to “go after one of their own” and suggested avoiding that route. Mr. Hindle explained that the JBC is a separate entity; state approved, and is allocated by the government. Mr. Bardwell stated what ever the issues; we still need a C.O. and need to work with these people.

- I. **DISCUSSION & SIGNING OF GMP DOCUMENTS:** Ms. Verville stated the current document was unchanged since the last meeting. Mr. McBey stated he could not find the email Ms. Verville sent him with the exclusions, so those numbers have not been updated. Mr. Goudreau mentioned he had an issue with the site-scope work and reviewed it with Mr. McBey. Mr. McBey asked if it was alright for him to make the changes on the form and initial. Ms. Verville requested he make the corrections and send them to her by email to go on the agenda for the next meeting. Mr. McBey stated he would remove the allowance for the construction of an alternate parking area, add the parking adjacent to Silver Street, exclude alternate #2; make the changes, and send them via email.
- J. **DISCUSSION ON RENOVATION BUDGET:** The first item on the list was for the removal and disposal of all lockers throughout the building. The next two items listed were for materials to cover the holes left by the removal of the lockers. One is for abuse-resistant gypsum board and the other is for 4” ground face blocks. Mr.

McBey said he had samples of the product with him if anyone was interested in looking at them. The next item was the cost to go wireless versus hardwiring computers throughout the school. Mr. McBey stated the wiring would need to be upgraded if the school went wireless. He originally quoted 5E cable and the new figures were for the upgrade to CAT 6 cable. He also stated he spoke with other vendors regarding the cost of putting wireless throughout the building. The general response is that now is not the time for wireless in schools. Ms. Cheney stated she recommends we stay with the CAT 6 wiring, but not go wireless. She noted that problems can occur later; if a different card is used it can cause problems for all the computers. There is also the question on reception; if cell phones can't be used in the building, what would the affect be on wireless. Ms. Mebert stated the school district recently purchased new computers and asked if any of those were for Woodman Park. Ms. Verville stated she did not believe they were. Mr. Boodey also mentioned that the only places he is aware of having wireless is the computer labs at the high school and middle school. Ms. Cheney stated there are computer companies now building wireless components into them. Mr. Goudreau asked what the cost is to upgrade to CAT 6 cable versus the base bid price. Mr. McBey stated that it would be approximately \$0.05-\$0.10 per sf. Mr. Goudreau mentioned he understood the document was to either raise or lower costs from previous bid and was not sure this document was doing that. Mr. McBey mentioned the previous bid had the square footage for the cable and he is trying to stay within that number. Ms. Cheney asked whether it would be helpful to speak with the districts IT person. Ms. Verville stated there had already been an extensive conversation at the beginning of the project regarding going wireless. At that time, the IT director believed it was not a good idea. One reason was because it would be too expensive. Mr. Bardwell noted if the committee stayed at the base bid, then \$97,000 would "go away." Ms. Mebert asked if someone would be willing to speak with the IT people at UNH. She noted they currently converted their old buildings to wireless and they "work great." Ms. Cheney mentioned that the building was not conducive to the phone system and asked how the wireless would work. Dr. O'Connor stated he would contact UNH and their IT people to discuss how they converted to wireless. Ms. Mebert mentioned her concern was that some of what will be installed will be obsolete shortly. She asked since the building is being renovated now; why not spend the money now to upgrade the system. She feels the committee needs to see the building as taking us into the future.

Mr. McBey continued discussing the ground level in the front of the building. After further investigation, it was found that modifications to the light wells can be eliminated. Ms. Verville asked if that would be a credit. Mr. Goudreau stated the light wells would stay "as is." He asked whether monies could be saved for concrete repairs in the front of the building. Ms. Verville said she would hold some of the monies aside. Mr. McBey continued by discussion the relocation of the electrical service. He stated he spoke with Martineau Electric and was told that there would be an initial additional cost during Phase 1, but there would be an overall savings of \$50,000-\$60,000 by the end of all three phases. Mr. Boodey asked for the location of the electrical closet. Because he will be placing people during the renovation, he needs to know what space will be available. Mr. McBey stated they will run a temporary line from the electrical room. The complete renovation of this area will take place during summer 2007. Mr. McBey said the net space was not a problem, but one spot will be lost. Mr. Silver said he discussed the location of the electrical room with RFS. They stated it is a requirement to have an exterior door and 2 exits. Mr. Silver did not know where the exterior door would go at its current location, but he last spoke with RFS this morning and their suggestion was to swap the electrical room and the custodial room and relocate a door to create an exit. Mr. Goudreau mentioned during Phase 2, the current preschool room will be unusable due to the loss of space. Mr. Boodey stated he only wants to move the preschool and kindergarten classes once. Mr. Goudreau said that those classes should be in the new addition by that time.

Mr. Hindle stated #7: SAU Initial Budget, would be skipped over because monies have not been approved at this time.

Mr. McBey mentioned the current budget includes installation of a new gym floor. Mr. Goudreau asked about refinishing the existing floor. Ms. Verville stated she has Ms. Eaton looking into what life is remaining in the existing floor because there might not be enough for another refinish. Mr. Goudreau stated if the floor is replaced, it would be similar to Garrison's. If the floors end up being refinished and not replaced, then there would be a credit. Mr. Silver stated he spoke with the building inspector, who told him that we did not have to upgrade the railings in the balcony area. Mr. Goudreau noted if the railings were not upgraded, he suggests making the balcony inaccessible. He also suggested getting a letter of understanding from the inspector about the railing system. Mr. Boodey said even if the balcony is not going to be used, he would like to see the railings upgraded. He mentioned the gym is not used only for school events, but outside groups rent the gym throughout

the year. Mr. Geuther asked if the balcony could be locked and, if the balcony wasn't going to be used, is the upgrade necessary. Mr. Silver mentioned the fire department used the gym over the past weekend and that they used the balcony. Dr. O'Connor stated he was at the event and only 4 fire fighters were using it. Ms. Mebert said security has to be in place to make sure no small child gets to the balcony if the upgrade is not done. Mr. Goudreau said the balcony railings were being grandfathered, but also agreed something has to be in place to make sure no one uses it. Mr. Silver asked if the doors can be locked. Mr. Boodey said yes, in theory, but people can still get up there. Mr. Bardwell asked if it was brought up to code, would more people use it. Mr. Boodey stated he didn't think so. Mr. Geuther asked since half the bleachers were being removed, would the balcony be used more. Mr. Goudreau said the plan is to take out both sets of bleachers currently in the gym, the court will be placed off center and new bleachers will be installed on one wall. However, the new bleachers will actually end up being able to seat a little more than half of what is being removed. Ms. Verville stated she will carry the figure because the renovation figures were not included in original figures. Mr. McBey finished with the removal of the existing chair lift. He mentioned the system was looked at and it looked easy enough to remove, so he did not add any figure for this. Mr. Hindle asked if there was any further discussion. Mr. Bardwell asked if all of the items listed was completed, what was the bottom line. Mr. McBey stated he did not have a bottom line at this time; he would like a direction from the committee. Ms. Mebert asked if the court is going to be moved, is it also going to be striped and refinished. Mr. Goudreau stated it would be. Ms. Verville mentioned that 2 years ago a vendor came in and redid the varnish on the current gym floor for free. That vendor brought up the life on the floor and that is why she has Ms. Eaton looking into having the floor looked at. She said she has to touch base with Ms. Eaton on what she was able to find out. Mr. Goudreau stated if there is more life left in the floor for sanding that would save \$114,000. Mr. Hindle asked Mr. McBey how soon he needed a direction from the committee. Mr. Geuther mentioned #6 can't be done at this time. Mr. Hindle also mentioned more research is requested for the wireless and gym floor. Ms. Cheney asked about the lockers; Mr. Goudreau asked what the committee decision was. Mr. Bardwell asked if the lockers were even used. Mr. Boodey stated they are once they are fixed. He also said a lot time and money goes into fixing them. Ms. Mebert asked about filling the empty space where the lockers were. Could we use shelving with non-impaling corners and have hooks for hanging up coats. Mr. Boodey stated he would rather see the space in the classrooms. Mr. Goudreau also mentioned the public would have access to anything out in the hallway compared to if it was in the classroom. He also mentioned less than 10% of flammable materials are allowable in the egress. If the students' backpacks and coats were out in the hallway, the fire department would have an issue because it would be above the 10%. Mr. Bardwell asked what was behind the lockers in the classrooms. Mr. Boodey said it could be any number of things, a wall, chalkboard, whiteboard. Ms. Cheney asked if the outside was flush or if it juts out into the classroom. Mr. Goudreau said space is lost in the classrooms due to the lockers. Ms. Cheney asked Mr. McBey if we could see the materials; Mr. McBey pulled out the samples of the 4" ground faced blocks. Ms. Cheney asked if this is what would go where the lockers were. Mr. Goudreau said it would be one of the two materials available and space would be gained because the blocks are only 4" thick. Mr. Geuther questioned if BPS has to be the one to remove the lockers, or if other ways of getting rid of the lockers could be used. Mr. Bardwell asked if someone in salvaging could come in and remove them. Mr. Hindle said that BPS is just looking for a direction on the removal of the lockers and we didn't have to go with them. Mr. Bardwell asked what Mr. Boodey thoughts were. Mr. Boodey said there would be fewer headaches. They are popular with the students, but with all the changes, he believes the students wouldn't even notice they were gone. Mr. Geuther asked if the committee was voting for the removal of all of the lockers and not just one floor. Mr. Goudreau said it was for all lockers in the school.

Ray Bardwell moved, Mark Geuther seconded, the removal of all lockers from the school. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

Ms. Cheney asked if gypsum was the same as the blocks. Mr. Goudreau said the gypsum quoted has a much denser paper face that stands up to more abuse than regular sheet rock. Mr. Bardwell motioned for the 4" ground faced blocks to be the filler for the lockers and the color to be determined at a later date; there was no second. Mr. Geuther asked if monies for this were already in the budget. Mr. Goudreau said the budget has the lockers as remaining. Ms. Cheney motioned to use the resistant gypsum board in place of the lockers; there was no second. Mr. Bardwell asked how much space the steel or wood studs would use. Mr. Goudreau said the studs would not take up more than 4". Mr. McBey said right now it is all preliminary; and he feels the committee has made great decisions. He feels the blocks are a great product and if the JBC decides to go that way now and then finds that monies are tight, it can be changed to the gypsum. Mr. Geuther asked what was going up in the new addition. Mr. Goudreau said it was gypsum board with a skim coating of the abuse-resistant coating. Ms. Mebert said she was picturing an oblong opening and asked what the others thought of having local artists come in and paint the

walls; make it look like a framed painting. Everyone seemed very interested in that idea. Mr. Hindle asked Mr. Goudreau and Mr. McBey what other direction they needed. Mr. Goudreau mentioned he would like to hear back regarding the gym floor. Mr. Boodey stated if it was a decision on loosing cubby space versus art work in the hallway, he would like to see the cubby space. Mr. Goudreau said that no matter what way we fill the space, the cubbies will be in the classrooms. Mr. McBey stated the cost of cutting in the cubbies is not included in any of these prices.

- K. OTHER:** Dr. O'Connor stated he looked into the two questions that were brought up during the walk-through. First was the reimbursable cost if we have rooms under 900 sf. He spoke with the state, and they told him that the costs would be reimbursed; however, the square footage per student allotment has to be met. A classroom of 750 sf the maximum is 20-21 students. Dr. O'Connor said he was questioning what the cost would be if we maintain as many of the classrooms at 750 sf versus 900 sf. He mentioned he heard someone say that it would cost approximately \$500,000 for all demolition for Phase 2 & 3. Before the meeting there was a meeting to discuss some of the changes and whether or not to expand. The following suggestions are under the assumption that the SAU office will not be moving to WPS. Keep the café the way it is and do the upgrades. Make current library the music room; which will allow us to capture an additional 2 classrooms. Mr. Hindle stated funding for the SAU is not for another year. Dr. O'Connor stated this was so Mr. Goudreau could make changes to the drawings to see what the numbers are. He said most of the changes are in the north wing. Mr. Boodey stated since the walk-through he said the reading room doesn't need 1-way glass and there is really no need for a kiln. He said if anything needs to be fired it can be brought to either the middle school or high school. The resource room shows permanent walls going up; he would rather see wall dividers in place of permanent walls. This way the room can be changed easily to meet the need for space at the time. He also found out that the behavior specialist wants to be on the top level because 3rd & 4th graders are there. Mr. Hindle asked if Mr. Goudreau could have the figures on the changes for our next meeting; Mr. Goudreau said he would have them.

Mr. Hindle asked about the progress on the roof. Mr. McBey said after the pull out of the gypsum, the roofing company recommends we reduce the space between the fasteners from 4' to 2' on center. This will cost an additional \$11,000. However, they also stated it will enhance the wind speed factor. Ms. Cheney asked why it's not F.M. Mr. Goudreau said because of the poor pull out strength, we can not get Factory Mutual Rating for the roof. Ms. Cheney asked what the double fasteners would do versus what was originally planned. Mr. McBey said the company is confident with the fasteners at 2' apart, that it will hold up to wind gusts of 75 mph. Mr. Hindle asked what the timeline would be if the submittals were given to Mr. Goudreau today. Mr. McBey said he's looking at this season, before freezing. Mr. Hindle asked if there were any comments or questions. Dr. O'Connor stated he didn't hear a very confident response that the roof will be fixed this season. Mr. McBey said they are hoping to go as far as possible before the real heavy freeze sets in. They'll start at the worst part of the roof and move out from there. Mr. Goudreau stated they are looking at getting the high roof done this season and the wings if possible. If not, the wings will be completed in the spring. Mr. Hindle asked if there were any other comments or questions. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion for approval.

Catherine Cheney moved, Carolyn Mebert seconded, approval of \$11,443.00 for Change Order #2 on increasing the number of fasteners for the new roof system. A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

Ms. Verville provided a revised budget worksheet. She asked Mr. Bardwell if this was the information he was looking for at a previous meeting. She explained the set up; the top of the form shows the soft costs; she also stated that the \$300,000 listed for the asbestos abatement is just an estimate. Next is the building budget for Phase 1. The highlighted area is where the figures from the GMP would be inputted as received. Mr. Goudreau mentioned hazmat abatement and suggested carrying a contingency for "unknowns" of 5%. Ms. Verville said she will update the worksheet with the two change orders that were approved tonight and email it to everyone. Ms. Grady said with all of the comments made tonight, she didn't hear anyone say this should go before the council occasionally with updates on where the project is at. Mr. Hindle stated by law, the JBC has to provide the information to both the School Board and City Council. Mr. Bardwell asked if the budget worksheet Ms. Verville prepared for the evening could be shared with both groups. Ms. Cheney and Mr. Hindle both said it was public information. Ms. Verville said the worksheet will be classified as Appendix A and be attached to the minutes. Mr. Bardwell then mentioned Foster's covers City Council meetings and always seem to get the information incorrect regarding the CIP monies. He asked if there is any cap on the monies. Ms. Cheney stated each city has a rating and that Dover has an A+ rating. She noted the city currently isn't even at 80%, but is concerned that it will go up with all of the projects coming before the Council. She said she felt the school should be the priority

for the monies. Mr. Hindle said he has said this in the past that all the JBC has to do is go before the council to request the additional funds that are needed. He said he is aware Mr. Turner, Ms. Cheney, Mr. Scott, and Mr. Keays support the work that needs to be done at Woodman Park. He believes that no matter what figure the JBC approaches the council with, they would approve it. Ms. Grady said her point wasn't for figures, but rather update the council on the status of the project and that there may be a need to ask for more money.

L. NEXT MEETING: The next meeting for the JBC is scheduled for Thursday, November 9, 2006, at 6:00 p.m. in the Woodman Park School Library. Mr. Boodey asked when the water was going to be turned off. Mr. Bliss stated that they will turn the water off on the 10th and 11th to work on the man hole.

M. ADJOURNMENT: A motion was made and seconded for adjournment of the JBC Committee at 7:56 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jason Hindle, Chair
Join Building Committee
JH/pb