

**Woodman Park Elementary School Addition & Renovations and SAU Retrofit
Joint Building Committee
Meeting #28
May 31, 2007**

- A. CALL TO ORDER:** A meeting of the Woodman Park Elementary School Addition & Renovations and SAU Retrofit Joint Building Committee was called to order on Thursday, May 31, 2007 at 5:03 p.m. at Woodman Park Elementary School in the library.
- B. ROLL CALL:** Present were Jason Hindle, Carolyn Mebert, Doris Grady, Mark Geuther and Ray Bardwell. Also present were John O'Connor, Superintendent; Laurie Verville, Business Manager; Patrick Boodey, Principal; Gary Goudreau, Goudreau & Associates; Keith McBey, BPS; Jim Kimball, BPS; Michael Bliss, Clerk of the Works; and Ted Lempka, RFS Engineering.

Woodman Park Elementary School:

- C. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES:** Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Catherine Cheney moved, Ray Bardwell seconded, approval of meeting minutes for May 8, 2007 and May 21, 2007. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0:**

- D. RESULTS OF LEAD PAINT ABATEMENT - WPS GYM:** Ms. Verville stated RPF Associates and Mr. Bliss had gotten together to solicit a bid for lead paint up in the ceiling of the gymnasium. Mr. Bardwell asked what part of the ceiling was being abated. Mr. Bliss stated it was the central ceiling and roof structure, which will be exposed when the ceiling tiles are removed. Ms. Verville then stated three firms were forwarded bid information: Air Quality Experts, Envirovantage and Marcor Remediation; however, only two firms responded. Envirovantage came in at \$78,200 and Marcor Remediation came in at \$276,800. Mr. Bliss stated Envirovantage and Marcor had representatives at the walkthrough with RPF and himself. Both firms came back a second time with more experienced people. Mr. Bliss said he had spoken with both firms regarding the terms. Mr. Bliss asked about sandblasting the steel without destroying the fiberboard. Marcor uses a process called sponge removal, which uses lighter grit sandpaper and is very expensive. Envirovantage would use the sponge technique for the structure closest to the roof deck and then sandblast the remaining areas of the steel structure. Envirovantage does not own the equipment for the sponging process; however, Marcor does own the equipment, which could also be a reason for the higher cost. The sponge process is a very expensive way to remove lead paint because of labor costs associated with the length of time it would take to remove the paint. One draw back is the sponge technique does not remove all paint from the surface. Mr. Bliss said he spoke with Envirovantage and told them they were the low bidder and a decision would be made at tonight's JBC meeting. He also said he was going to speak to Envirovantage to see if a chemical could be used to remove the paint and eliminate sandblasting. Mr. Bliss mentioned they will begin in the attic/walkway to remove as much paint from that access as possible. What can't be reached will be done from the gym floor. The price from Envirovantage includes priming and painting over all exposed steel. Mr. Kimball stated the need to define exactly what work would be done. Mr. Bliss mentioned Envirovantage stated they would remove all ductwork that is in the way. Mr. Bliss had spoken with Mr. Goudreau about cutting the ductwork and Mr. Goudreau mentioned as long as air is moving in the gym there shouldn't be a problem. Mr. Goudreau then mentioned the ductwork is the only source of air for the gym; however, there are systems that can be moved easily and could be set up for temporary airflow. Mr. Goudreau then asked Mr. Bliss if the lead abatement for the ductwork was included in the price; Mr. Bliss said it was. Mr. Bliss also said BPS would do a clean cut on the ductwork and Envirovantage would remove the ductwork, lights and speakers. After the ductwork and ceiling are taken out, a containment wall would go up. Mr. Bliss mentioned there is a very small section of ductwork that can't be removed until the summer 2008 because of its location.

Mr. Bardwell asked if either BPS or Mr. Bliss had any information on either company. Mr. Bliss mentioned Tim Burke worked with Envirovantage in Raymond and did not have a problem. Ms. Grady asked why there was such a price difference. Mr. McBey stated it is not unusual for someone who needs the work to go after it

with a low bid price. Mr. Bardwell asked if anyone has worked personally with either company. Mr. Kimball mentioned he worked with Marcor last summer on a project and mentioned they are a good company. Mr. Geuther mentioned he has worked with Envirovantage a lot and has not had any problems. He also mentioned Envirovantage used to be Lead Source out of Dover. Ms. Verville mentioned when the company was Lead Source, they had done several small jobs over the years for the district and there were no issues. Mr. Bliss mentioned Air Quality did not seem interested in this job, even though they are currently doing a job at WPS. He also mentioned RPF said Marcor is usually pricier than other firms. Mr. Geuther asked if there were other asbestos firms qualified to do the work in the gym but decided not to bid. Mr. Bliss said they went with the companies RPF recommended, which were Air Quality, Envirovantage and Marcor. Ms. Cheney asked if a chemical were used, would it prevent damaging the roof. Mr. Bliss said that was correct; it would also lessen dirt and dust on the tiles coming down. Mr. Bliss mentioned plywood would be put over the existing gym floor then a heavy poly would cover the plywood and heavy tape would lock the poly together so nothing gets under it. Also, there would be a seal around the doors and windows so nothing leaves the containment area. After the project is over, the poly and plywood would be removed. Ms. Cheney asked if the company has insurance in case damage is done to the roof. Mr. Bliss stated no damage can be done to the roof deck; it is what is holding the roof in place. Mr. Goudreau said that is why he made it very clear that no damage could be done to the fiberboard decking, because it is the main structure of the roof. Ms. Verville asked about getting figures together for the demo of the ductwork. Mr. Bliss said he would get in touch with Scott at Envirovantage on alternate pricing.

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any additional questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Ray Bardwell moved, Catherine Cheney seconded approval of Envirovantage for the lead paint abatement in the gymnasium for \$78,200. A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

- E. APPROVAL FOR STORAGE BOXES – SPED OFFICE:** Ms. Verville said she included the purchase order for storage boxes for the special education office on the agenda because she felt this was an expense to move the SPED office out of the building with a temporarily set up at the Middle School. However, she did not know if this was appropriate to the project and is asking the committee members what monies they want used for this purchase. Mr. Hindle asked if the office would be moving back into Woodman Park. Ms. Verville said they would not be moving back to Woodman Park. Mr. Hindle then mentioned he had spoken with Ms. Verville about this expense and stated he did not have a problem with an expenditure if the office/department would be moving back into the building. However, where SPED would not be moving back into the building, he feels it should be a district expense. Ms. Grady stated she felt the same way.

Ray Bardwell motioned, Doris Grady seconded to have the district pay for the expense of storage boxes for the Special Education office in the amount of \$199.80. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

F. APPROVAL OF E-MAILS:

- a. Finishes for Phase II & III: Ms. Verville mentioned she wanted to confirm with all members the colors would remain the same throughout Phases II & III. Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion.

Catherine Cheney moved, Doris Grady seconded approval of the e-mails on the finishes. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

G. CIP FINANCIAL REPORT – WPS: Ms. Verville read the following into record:

Ms. Verville reported the balance of the FY 2006 CIP account as of May 31, 2007 is \$79,492.31; which remains unchanged.

Ms. Verville reported the balance of the FY 2007 CIP account as of May 31, 2007, before the GMP for Phase II & III, which also includes the most recent approvals, the balance is \$6,170,208.96. After the GMP the balance is \$5,991,094, plus the monies from appropriation 2006, less the expenditure to Envirovantage in the amount of \$78,200 the available balance is \$180,407.27.

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any other questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Catherine Cheney moved, Ray Bardwell seconded, approval of the CIP Financial Report. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

H. PAYMENT OF BILLS:

- a. RFS Engineering, Invoice dated 3/15/07 for \$191.92

Ms. Verville mentioned this was a progress billing on work done to date on the wetlands application. She stated she is not anticipating any further billing from RFS; however, she will contact John to see if they would be willing to come back and help if the State requires more information. Mr. Bardwell stated everything should be fine unless the State puts further restrictions on the application. Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion for approval.

Catherine Cheney moved, Ray Bardwell seconded payment to RFS Engineering in the amount of \$191.92. A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

- b. William C Huff Storage, Invoice dated 5/18/07 for \$416.25

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Ray Bardwell moved, Catherine Cheney seconded payment to William C. Huff Storage in the amount of \$416.25. A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

- c. Goudreau & Associates, Invoice #2006.02-14 dated 5/14/07 for \$16,201.20

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Catherine Cheney moved, Doris Grady seconded payment to Goudreau & Associates in the amount of \$16,201.20. A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

I. LETTER FROM DEPT. OF EDUCATION, BUREAU OF SCHOOL APPROVAL & FACILITY

MANAGEMENT: Ms. Verville mentioned she wanted to include correspondence she received from Mr. Ed Murdough for the committee's information. Ms. Verville said the letter states we do meet the requirements for the State for Phases II & III; however, Mr. Murdough states in paragraph 2 that there are several classrooms undersized and the district needs to follow the per pupil per sf requirements. Ms. Verville stated she did not see anything in the letter to warrant a response. Mr. Goudreau then mentioned the paragraph regarding adding one shower to each of the boys and girls bathrooms. He stated not to bother or worry about this because he has never seen or heard of students using the showers in the bathrooms. Dr. O'Connor mentioned during the last walkthrough it was decided not to upgrade the classrooms, but to keep a certain number at 750 sf. He also mentioned having a conversation with Mr. Murdough stating the maximum is 23 students per 750 sf classroom. Ms. Grady then asked about the light fixtures and did we follow up on changing out the bulbs per Mr. Murdough's recommendation. Mr. Goudreau asked Mr. Lempka if the same fixtures could be used if the T lighting was changed. Mr. Lempka stated a different fixture and ballast would be needed if we changed from T8 to either a T5 or super T8 as suggested by Mr. Murdough. Mr. Goudreau mentioned the more energy efficient the lighting is, the more it costs. Ms. Verville mentioned she has three pending applications and was told one will be a \$1,500 credit and the other two still have to be determined. Mr. Goudreau asked if the cost would be a wash. Mr. Lempka mentioned PSNH would try to off set the cost. Mr. Bardwell asked if it was something that would be seen right away. Mr. Lempka said the super T8 is more efficient; however, there are times where regular T8 wattage per sf is less than the super T8's. Mr. Lempka then mentioned he would like to sit with Ms. Verville and Mr. Goudreau and look at the fixture spacing. Mr. Goudreau said most of the lighting in the new addition has already been placed, so lighting changes would have to be in the existing building. Ms. Verville stated we are looking at Phases II & III and she would place a call to Tom Moody. Mr. Bardwell asked about making the changes to the lighting in Phase I; Mr. Goudreau said it was too late to make

the changes for Phase I because most of the lighting is already in and the expense to change the fixtures out is too costly. Mr. Geuther asked Ms. Verville how long the State has had the information on file; Ms. Verville said she believed since mid February. Mr. Goudreau stated he thought it was since March and then mentioned he hasn't received anything from the State Fire Marshall on Phase I. He said the State gets to the files when they can due to the number of apps they receive. Mr. Hindle suggested inviting Mr. Murdough to the dedication of the new addition; Ms. Verville said she believes he would like.

J. DISCUSSION OF GMP: Ms. Verville apologized because she forgot to bring the copies of the GMP documents to this evenings meeting. Mr. McBey asked Ms. Verville what it was she wanted to discuss. Ms. Verville said she wanted to go over the document with the members. Mr. Hindle stated the GMP discussion would be tables until the next JBC meeting.

K. PRESENTATION BY KEITH MCBEY ON PHASE I, II & III: BID TABULATION & PROJECTIONS: Mr. McBey stated some have already seen the information he was handing out. The first packet is on the Phase I general bid invitation and also included the paperwork requested on things that still need to be caught up on. Mr. McBey also mentioned this was a lot of information to absorb and if any members had any questions, to give him a call. Mr. McBey said there are a few gray areas still out there. The second packet is for Phases II & III. He said there were some areas that were not as well attended as for Phase I because some believed where there were companies already working on Phase I, they would continue the work for Phases II & III. Mr. McBey mentioned the general scope came out better than expected. Ms. Grady then asked about the page for structural steel and mentioned East Coast Welding has the lowest number and asked why their number was so much lower. Mr. McBey stated they are only providing the decking, miscellaneous stairs and beams. East Coast did not include labor costs. Mr. McBey mentioned there is a lot of labor involved in chipping out concrete, removing the materials, adding the reinforced steel and then pouring the concrete; he said the additional \$97,000 to line item below includes everything. He also mentioned East Coast Welding has a great reputation and they put a bid in separately on the steel because they are not able to put steel in. Ms. Verville then asked whom BPS had chosen for the bleachers; Mr. McBey stated they were looking at Robert H. Lord Co. Ms. Verville stated the district is currently having issues with previous work they did. Mr. McBey asked if anything has been done or said to Robert H. Lord. Ms. Verville said the facilities office was handling it. Mr. McBey mentioned he did speak with them about the issue and asked if someone from the district could contact them. Mr. Geuther then asked what the difference was between the two prices by Robert H. Lord Co. Mr. McBey said the first price was for bleachers and the second price was for equipment for the gym. All the other companies gave prices on equipment only. Ms. Verville then asked if they are a carrier of Hussey products or are they a distributor. Mr. McBey said they only carry the product line. He then asked Ms. Verville if any members had questions on any of the information he handed out, could they e-mail her and she distribute the information to the other members. Ms. Verville stated that was fine with her; committee members also agreed.

Mr. McBey then handed out a breakdown on the anticipated costs for Phase I. He stated he feels good about the numbers listed and reminded members these were just the anticipated costs. Mr. McBey stated there is still the \$30,000 in contingency monies plus an additional \$27,000 in monies saved for a total approximate savings on Phase I of \$57,000. He also said there have been some costs absorbed by BPS. However, there are a couple of assumptions; Mr. McBey mentioned to expect additional money to be spent for the site work because of the delay on the wetlands approval. Mr. McBey mentioned he spoke with the company hired for the paving and they told him he maybe looking at an additional \$15,000 above the original cost quoted. Mr. McBey mentioned he thinks this could be a math error and said he has e-mailed the gentleman saying he thought he was being a little more aggressive than needed; he has not heard back from him. He's not sure what the members want to do if he can't get the math to work for the paving. Mr. Bardwell mentioned a discussion at a previous meeting about the paving company leaving the site and coming back when the wetlands issues has been resolved and the committee was told that wouldn't be a problem. Ms. Verville asked if the additional cost was not for loss of work and if so, Mr. McBey needs to go back to him regarding previous discussions. Mr. McBey mentioned he put the monies as anticipated; however, he thinks it will be better than that. He believes the gentleman will come around and mentioned he is a decent guy. Ms. Verville asked if Caprioli did the Phase I painting; Mr. McBey stated they did all areas except one. Ms. Verville asked if this was a new working relationship with Caprioli. Mr. McBey mentioned there isn't a long history with

them; however, he has worked with them on two or three other projects with no problems. Mr. McBey said he thought the cost for paint was high.

Mr. Hindle asked Mr. Bliss for an update on the project. Mr. Bliss stated the floors were going in on the lower level and the progress was still from the top floor to the lower level. He mentioned a few issues have come up in the library but are being worked on. Mr. Bliss mentioned on the lower level floors, the circles were being added to the geometric pattern at no extra cost to the district. He mentioned the tile people started laying out the floor pattern and put the adhesive down yesterday. However, today they were told to revert to the original shapes, but the layout was already done. Mr. Goudreau mentioned this was his error. He gave the design for the first floor in the new addition, but didn't give them the old design. Mr. Kimball mentioned the pace of the project is going fast and materials were already here. Mr. Bliss said the extra cost of the circles is being absorbed.

L. OTHER:

- a. Discussion on Tunnel Issue: Mr. Bliss mentioned they couldn't find the leaks in the areas of concern. The infrared camera was working and showed the leak in the floor and a puddle; however, below level of floor it didn't show anything. They need to go with plan B, which is when the hole is dug for access to the tunnel; someone will have to go down into the tunnel through the hole to get to the steam pipes. The boiler would be turned on and someone will have to suit up and look for leaks. We will have to abate as needed to get the leaks fixed. Mr. Bardwell asked if and how we could change out the boiler and pipes this year before it gets cold. Mr. Kimball stated it was not possible for this year because we are looking at converting steam to hot water, which means all pipes in the building need to be changed out. Mr. Bliss stated you couldn't go from hot water to steam once it's converted and they have looked at different options but none work. Mr. Kimball also mentioned that it is not realistic with all the other work to be done this summer. Mr. Boodey then stated he would like to give special thanks to the Dover Fire Department for helping out with looking for the leaks; they lent the infrared camera and their time. Mr. Hindle asked if there were no objections from the committee, he would like a letter drafted and sent to the Fire Department from the JBC. Mr. Bardwell then mentioned that we have to fix any leaks that are found, so why not invest early on and change the system out. Mr. Bliss stated it was not practical. At this time fix any leaks that are found and hope nothing happens for the remainder of the year. He mentioned they also looked at the concrete and flooring with the camera and nothing showed on camera. Ms. Grady said it's pretty scary to hope after all the work is done we're hoping nothing goes wrong. Mr. Geuther said the issues would go away after the renovations have been completed and mentioned there is no greater chance if we were not renovating. Mr. Bardwell asked why the system couldn't be changed out this summer. Mr. Goudreau mentioned you were looking at the whole boiler plant, which is scheduled for Phase III. Mr. Kimball then mentioned you are looking at more work than the contractors can do in the short summer months. Dr. O'Connor mentioned as the rooms are renovated the piping will be replaced for the new system, it does not make sense to tear apart a room twice. Mr. Bliss said they looked into everything and a decision was made to wait to the very end of the project to switch over the boiler system. He also mentioned the new boiler can't go together on the old boiler system. Mr. Kimball mentioned the 'C' wing could possibly be mixed to provide airflow for that wing; however the reality is this work cannot be done in five months.
- b. Discussion on Phase II – Energy Modeling: Mr. Goudreau stated he asked Ted Lempka from Rist, Frost & Shumway to come in to discuss the energy modeling. Mr. Lempka referenced the latest e-mail dated 5/17/07 stating information was sent to Northern Utilities in the format they requested. Mr. Lempka stated he spoke with Derek who mentioned the second request might qualify. The second request has the two roof top units on the new addition and three units for the existing building. The initial model was 50% efficient on the energy wheel versus the 70% these units are. He stated there is a jump in energy and cost savings. Mr. Lempka said the cost estimate is \$52,000 for individual and has a different code minimum to design. Northern Utilities contractor's quotes, numbers need to be confirmed. Eckhardt & Johnson quoted \$110,000 for differential and Northern Utilities gave a price of \$50,000. Mr. Goudreau asked if this was fiscal. Mr. Bliss said it was and also stated no monies would be received until after the boiler was used. He also stated he would like to start using the gas as soon as possible to claim use of two years.

Mr. Lempka stated there is a potential cost of \$28,000 a year to implement; however, there would be an annual national gas savings due to not having to build an infrastructure. What RFS has recommended for a

boiler system is a high efficiency cast iron and is already included in the project. These boilers are flexible cast iron and are not susceptible to boiler shock (cracking or failed sections) as compared to standard cast iron. The efficiency cast iron is not much higher than code 80% to 86% and the efficiency cast iron is at 86%. Mr. Lempka said in speaking with Derek, he indicated it might qualify where the first measure is at the maximum. Eckhardt & Johnson estimated \$12,000 with an additional \$6,000 incentive. He mentioned he looked at an alternate condensing type boiler that reaches 90% efficiency. However, due to the size, three condensing boilers would be needed instead of two of the cast iron for an additional cost of \$67,000; the spreadsheets show the breakdown. There is also a 9.1-year payback for the condensing units versus 3.4 years for the cast iron. Mr. Bliss stated he had engineering questions on the condensers. Mr. Lempka said the condensing boilers are perfect for water service heat pumps and radiant floor heating; the increase in efficiency is close to 90%. He also stated the committee should be aware with the condensing boilers, they do not have standard boiler components and they vary by manufacturer. This may be a problem if something happens to the boiler and a regular technician comes to repair the system; they might not be able to fix the problem. Ms. Cheney wanted to clarify if we went with the condensing boilers it would be a triple boiler system; Mr. Lempka said that was correct. Ms. Cheney then asked where this system is more complicated, what is the life span. Mr. Lempka stated it is approximately 20 years, the same as a cast iron boiler. However, he knows of at least one system that the coil failed after only 7 years. Ms. Cheney asked with the efficiency cast iron is a 3.4-year payback and the efficiency is lower. Mr. Lempka stated the payback was correct and the energy code requires 80% efficiency and the boiler system designed is at 86% efficiency. Ms. Cheney if the boiler is replaced, what if don't like it, what happens then. Ms. Verville mentioned currently the district is in the process of replacing the boiler system at Horne Street School and what is going in there is the efficiency cast iron. Mr. Geuther asked if Northern Utilities would cut a check or give a credit on future bills. Ms. Verville said she believes a check would be cut because they are separate divisions at Northern Utilities. Mr. Hindle asked to be kept in the loop with a summary of what is being done on the energy efficiency. Ms. Verville then asked about the controls and if the control technicians would be handling maintenance once the boiler is on-line. Mr. McBey said there would be technicians available for the first year then the district contractor would service it. Ms. Verville mentioned the district currently has a contract with Siemens, but it runs out in December. The district will be going out to bid and she wants to make it competitive, could she have details on the new system for the bid. Mr. McBey asked if they could set up a meeting to go over the information. Mr. Goudreau stated in the bid there is no regular service and renegotiate in December stating WPS boilers are covered for one year. Mr. Kimball stated the need for someone to watch the remaining system until the new boilers are set up. Mr. Geuther asked about the software and if it would be different than what the district already has. Mr. McBey stated the software was a different system. Ms. Verville said it would still be home based at the high school in the facilities office.

- c. Discussion on IAQ Emergency Testing Expenditure: Ms. Verville said the e-mail sent on 5/21/07 was in regards to the anticipated cost of the air quality testing in various parts of the existing building. Dennis Francoeur from RPF estimated a total cost of \$7,000 for seven days of testing and rush analyses. Mr. Bardwell asked how many areas were tested. Mr. Bliss mentioned there were a total of 25 rooms tested over five days and a two-week period. Ms. Verville stated she was looking for a motion by the committee for approval at this point. Mr. Hindle asked Dr. O'Connor and Mr. Boodey if they have heard any other concerns from parents or staff members, because Mr. Hindle has not. Mr. Boodey stated there are staff members that feel their sore throats or sinus issues are linked to the building. He has not heard any more from parents since he has posted the information for the public in the school's foyer. Dr. O'Connor then stated he has not received any other worker's comp claims from staff members.

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any other questions or comments. Mr. Hindle then suggested tabling until the total cost has been determined. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

M. RESULTS OF FURNITURE BID FOR PHASE I: Ms. Verville mentioned the timing of the bid was very important. The bid closed on 5/29/07 and Mr. Boodey was asked to take a look at the information that came in. There are a total of four firms we are looking to award the furniture to. They are as follows: Equipment Environments for student desks for a total of \$6,388.20; School Furnishings, Inc. for kidney-shaped tables (small & large) for a total of \$5,926.20; School Specialty for swivel chairs for a total of \$3,612.50; School Furnishings for flower-style tables for students for a total of \$7,697.60; School Furnishings for book browser

carts for a total of \$11,469.90; and Creative Office Products for student chairs for a total of \$19,763.80. Ms. Verville mentioned this covers 15 classrooms and does not include preschool. She also mentioned monies were being carried from 2006 and there are available monies from the FF & E. The total cost for all above mentioned furniture is \$54,858.20, which includes shipping & handling charges. Ms. Verville also mentioned the bid was written stating it was not all inclusive, so all companies were aware they would not be awarded all items. Ms. Cheney then questioned the swivel chairs and asked if they were for students. Ms. Verville stated these swivel chairs were for the teachers. Ms. Mebert then mentioned School Specialty has only a one-year warranty where School Furnishings for a little more money has a lifetime warranty and asked if we were sure of the quality of the chairs. Ms. Verville stated in the bid specifications, bidders were required to submit a one-page, full-color brochure of the product(s) along with any color charts. Mr. Boodey stated he looked at the catalogs received on the chairs and said the chairs looked the same with all companies. He also mentioned he asked the women in the office to look at the different catalogs for the same chair and asked their opinion; they also did not see a difference between the chairs. Mr. Boodey said he finds it hard to justify paying more money for a lifetime warranty on what could be a chair made by the same manufacturer but has a sticker with a different company name on it.

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any other questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Ray Bardwell moved, Catherine Cheney seconded approval of all furniture companies for a total cost of \$54,858.20. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

Mr. Hindle asked for Mr. McBey and Mr. Goudreau to remain for the SAU office retrofit.

Catherine Cheney moved, Ray Bardwell seconded for adjournment of the Woodman Park Elementary School Addition & Renovations at 6:30 p.m. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

N. CIP FINANCIAL REPORT – McCONNELL CENTER: Ms. Verville read the following into the record as of May 31, 2007:

Ms. Verville reported the balance of the FY 2008 CIP account as of May 31, 2007 is \$499,347.87. Only monies applied against CIP is Mr. Bliss, Clerk of the Works services and copies of plans.

Mr. Hindle asked if there were any other questions or comments. Hearing none, Mr. Hindle asked for a motion of approval.

Ray Bardwell moved, Catherine Cheney seconded, approval of the CIP Financial Report. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

O. OTHER: Mr. Hindle mentioned the City Council asked for a time line on when the SAU office would be moving over to the McConnell Center; Mr. Hindle stated he told them by the end of the year, but he wasn't sure due to the roof issue. Ms. Verville stated she thought the bid for the roof was due on May 29th. Mr. Hindle stated it was and was told the report would be going to council members post hast. Ms. Grady said if professional roofers go up on the roof, they should make sure it isn't the same company that looked at the roof 2 years ago. That company told the City the roof was good for another 3-5 years and it is already failing after 2 years. Ms. Verville said she thought the bid documents were for roof repairs only. Mr. Hindle stated it went out for repairs with an alternate for full replacement. Ms. Grady said at the last council meeting the city manager stated there was a total of \$125,000 in the budget for the roof and if she heard right, her concern is if the bidders come back stating the roof is good for another 3-5 years, that may be the way the city manager would go. Mr. Hindle said the city manager would go with the recommendations he is given by the professional roofers. Dr. O'Connor asked Mr. Hindle if he has seen or heard anything regarding the city hall usage. Mr. Hindle mentioned they are preparing the final draft and it should be out within the next couple of weeks.

Mr. Hindle then mentioned he spoke with Ms. Verville the other day and asked about the feasibility of meeting every week and alternating WPS and the McConnell Center. He mentioned where this week the main focus was on WPS, next week's meeting would be regarding the SAU office, etc. Mr. Bardwell asked if we would

then flip-flop the meeting place or have all meetings at WPS. Mr. Hindle was thinking about having the meeting on the floor with a general walkthrough over at the McConnell Center for a discussion on what the needs are. Dr. O'Connor then mentioned there are no tables or chairs over at the McConnell Center. Mr. Bardwell then asked what the mission was and asked if we already had a layout of the floor with Mr. Goudreau suggestions; if so, do we need to have a walkthrough. Mr. Goudreau stated a walkthrough would be good once we have the specific finishes in mind. Mr. Bardwell then asked Mr. Goudreau if he could do an eighth scale for members to write notes on. Mr. Goudreau said he should be able to do something. Mr. Geuther asked if it would be the best use for BPS and Mr. Goudreau to have meetings a little longer than having one a week. If needed, an emergency meeting could be made for decisions that need to be made before the next meeting and can overlap the regular meetings. Mr. Hindle stated he would like to focus on one project at a time; this can be reevaluated at a later time. Mr. Bardwell then asked Dr. O'Connor if the staff has seen the layout; Dr. O'Connor mentioned the SAU staff was involved in the bid process. Mr. Goudreau then mentioned he is having Mr. Silver work on the McConnell Center project where he was involved in the McConnell Center several years ago.

P. NEXT JBC MEETING: The next JBC meeting is scheduled for Thursday, June 7, 2007 at 5:00 p.m. at the Superintendent's office.

Q. ADJOURNMENT: Catherine Cheney moved, Mark Geuther seconded, adjournment of the JBC Committee at 6:45 p.m. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 6/0**

Respectfully submitted,

Jason Hindle/pb

Jason Hindle, Chair
Joint Building Committee
JH/pb