

GES Phase II Addition & Renovation Joint Building Committee
Meeting #9
November 4, 2005
Dover, New Hampshire 03820

- A. CALL TO ORDER:** A meeting of the GES Phase II Addition and Renovations Joint Building Committee was called to order on Thursday, November 4, 2005, at 5:05 p.m. at the Superintendent's Office.
- B. ROLL CALL:** Present were Carolyn Mebert, Chairperson, Marjorie Fisher, Jason Hindle, and Otis Perry. Also present were Laurie Verville, Business Manager; John O'Connor, Superintendent; Anna Parrill, Principal of Garrison Elementary School; Phil Casey, Clerk of the Works; Gary Goudreau, Goudreau & Associates; and Jennifer Beloin, Belion Construction.
- C. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES:** Jason Hindle moved, Otis Perry seconded approval of the October 6, 2005 meeting minutes. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 3 – 0.**
- D. CIP BALANCE:** Laurie Verville reported the following balances in the CIP Account as of October 31, 2005.
- Expenditures to date: \$ 168,227.78
Obligations to date: \$ 1,653,558.90

GES: After expenditures and obligations reported to date, the remaining balance is \$ 328,213.32

Jason Hindle moved and Otis Perry seconded to accept the Financial Report.
A roll call **VOTE PASSED: 3 – 0**

- E. PAYMENT OF BILLS:** Ms. Verville stated that the bills on the agenda are already part of the pre-approved obligations.

Otis Perry moved and Jason Hindle seconded to accept the payment of the following bills:

- a. Salisbury Woodworks, LLC Invoice #481 dated 10-06-05 for \$10,500.00
- b. Salisbury Woodworks, LLC Invoice #480 dated 10-06-05 for \$4,500.00
- c. John Turner Consulting Inc. Invoice #05-244-001 dated 9-30-05 for \$ 164.70
- d. Beloin Construction Inc. Payment Application dated 10-24-05 for \$29,135.00

An oral **VOTE PASSED: 3 – 0.**

F. PHASE II UPDATE:

- a. Bid results for the installation of the telescoping gymnasium bleachers were as follows:
There was a public bid opening on Wednesday, November 2nd at 1:15 p.m. Mr. Goudreau's specifications for the bleachers were included in all bid packets that were sent out.
Robert H. Lord: Hussey Bleachers Cost: \$23,186⁰⁰ with an alternate of vinyl end curtains for \$658⁰⁰ and flex row on first row of bleachers (\$614⁰⁰) for a total of \$23,230.00
Criteria II, LTD: Sheridan Bleachers Cost: \$31,524.00
CB Seating: Interkal Bleachers Cost: \$43,350.00
Mr. Wotton reviewed the results with Ms. Verville the following morning; he agreed with Ms. Verville that the quote given by Robert H. Lord Company, Inc. was a sound price. They were also

using one of the brands, Hussey, that was requested in the bid specifications. Ms. Mebert asked about what the end curtains and if they were for keeping kids from going under the bleachers; she also inquired if Hussey was a good quality product and if it was better than the other two company's brands that were quoted. Ms. Verville stated that she did not know about the quality of the Sheridan bleachers and that Interkal was a good quality bleacher. However, in the district we do have Hussey bleachers in one of the schools and have not had a problem with them to date; she also knows of a few schools out of district that also have Hussey bleachers and they have not had any problems. Ms. Mebert then asked if there was any type of warranty included; Ms. Verville stated that there was a warranty that went with the bleachers.

Mr. Casey then stated that he did not see a score table section that would be placed in the bleachers and stated that Mr. Wotton was wondering if that could be added because he would like to have that option, if available. Mr. Goudreau stated that it was not placed in the specs; however, he could look into it.

Otis Perry moved and Jason Hindle seconded the approval of the bid from Robert H. Lord Company Inc. in the amount of 23,230⁰⁰, which includes the credit of \$614⁰⁰ for the flex row and an additional \$658⁰⁰ for the vinyl end curtains.

An oral **VOTE PASSED: 3 – 0**

- b. Bid results for the gymnasium equipment were as follows: There was a public bid opening on Wednesday, November 2nd at 1:00 p.m. Ms. Verville mentioned that all companies solicited received the specifications from Goudreau & Associates with the specific brands being requested.

<u>Porter Athletics:</u>	Brand: Porter				
	Backboards - \$19,997 ⁰⁰	Curtain - \$5,799 ⁰⁰	Padding - \$7,218 ⁰⁰	Package Price - \$32,296 ⁰⁰	
<u>CB Seating:</u>	Brand: Performance Sports System				
	Backboards - \$25,500 ⁰⁰	Curtain - \$4,500 ⁰⁰	Padding - \$7,000 ⁰⁰	Package Price - \$37,000 ⁰⁰	
<u>Jaypro:</u>	Brand: Jaypro	Did not provide individual breakdown		Package Price - \$38,800 ⁰⁰	
<u>Space Design System:</u>	Brand: Draper				
	Backboards - \$33,642 ⁰⁰	Curtain - \$5,652 ⁰⁰	Padding - \$5,623 ⁰⁰	Package Price - \$44,917 ⁰⁰	

Ms. Verville also mentioned that we requested each company give individual prices for the equipment requested and a group price if they would receive the bid for all three items. Mike Ward from Porter Equipment was the only representative present at the bid opening. Ms. Verville mentioned that at the opening Mr. Ward received a copy of all the other bids and the prices that they quoted. A little while later, Mr. Ward phoned back leaving a message for Ms. Verville stating that he quoted the backboards incorrectly and there was an increase of \$4,201⁰⁰ for a total amount of the backboards to \$24,201⁰⁰ and an increase of total package price from \$32,296⁰⁰ to \$36,000⁰⁰; even with the increase they are still the lowest price. Ms. Verville stated that she still wants to go back to the General Manager of Porter to discuss the pricing; however, before voting on the equipment bid, she did want to make everyone aware of what had happened. Ms. Mebert asked if they could change the package price after a bid has closed. Ms. Verville stated that it is still a little gray. Ms. Mebert asked if we should accept at the quoted price of \$32,296⁰⁰, Mr. Perry stated that he could not see anything to convince him on the higher price. Dr. O'Connor mentioned that we did also have two other bidders available if Porter did not want to accept the original prices quoted.

Otis Perry moved and Jason Hindle seconded to approve Porter Athletic Equipment Co., for the original bid price of \$32,296.00

An oral **VOTE PASSED: 4 – 0**

- c. A decision was made to pass on discussions on the A/C where they were already discussed at length at the October 6th meeting.
- d. Mr. Casey began to discuss where we were at with the air conditioning units. He began by stating that he is put out by the two electricians quotes. Martineau gave a quote price for 2 feeds to the A/C condenser, but did not want to price out Phase I and Phase II prices. Mr. Goudreau mentioned that the wiring back up was also included in the price. Mr. Casey then discussed Palmer & Sicard's

pricing. They originally quoted a price of \$48,500⁰⁰ then came back with a quote for the change made with the electrical, leaving a price of \$41,500⁰⁰, they then followed up with a quote of \$32,500⁰⁰ for removing the electrical wiring to the A/C piping and controls to the old panel. Mr. Goudreau thought that these quotes were for Phase I condensers only; Mr. Casey stated that the quotes provided from the three companies were for Phase I only. Mr. Casey stated that the quote from ACME sheet metal came in with a quote of \$43,000⁰⁰ and after correction made on the electrical work, gave a quote of \$37,221⁰⁰, but they did not have time to put it in writing for this meeting. Eckhardt & Johnson gave a quote of \$25,196⁰⁰ without the electrical; however, they did mention that the price quoted did not include the hook-up of the gas bypass system, which were in the specs. If the hook-up was required they gave an estimate of \$2,000⁰⁰ per condenser unit. This is due to the process of bleeding out the lines and changing out the part. Ms. Mebert asked if Palmer & Sicard along with ACME Sheetmetal were comparable to Eckhardt & Johnson. Mr. Casey stated that all three companies were comparable to each other for workmanship.

Mr. Casey went back to Palmer & Sicard at 4:00/4:15 p.m. for an answer regarding the hot gas bypass; however, there was not enough time for an answer, the rep from Palmer & Sicard stated that they would get back to Mr. Casey the next day, (Friday, November 4th). Mr. Goudreau asked if the other 2 companies saw the specs that Eckhardt & Johnson had looked at; Mr. Casey stated that the reps from ACME and Palmer & Sicard went on the roof to see what needed to be done. Mr. Casey also stated that they went off the plans; Mr. Goudreau then verified that the other 2 companies did not receive the specs. Mr. Casey stated that the specs were Trane and not Carrier and he went with Carrier to go with current unit. Mr. Casey also suggested that we go with the lowest bidder at this time and go back to Palmer & Sicard to see what they say about the hot gas bypass. Mr. Perry suggested that we go to the engineer for his approval on the gas exchange bypass and to see if it is even needed. Dr. O'Connor stated that even with the additional \$4,000 quoted by Eckhardt & Johnson, they still gave the lowest quote. Ms. Mebert noticed that Palmer & Sicard had on their quote removal and replacement of any tiles affected; however, she did not see that on the other 2 quotes. Mr. Casey stated that the replacement of tiles would fall more on the electrician than it would on the companies. Mr. Goudreau stated that we should still check with Palmer & Sicard on their meaning; he is still concerned about how the condenser units will be sitting on the roof. Mr. Casey stated that they use metal casings versus the roof timbers that the other companies use. Mr. Goudreau stated that his concern was whether or not the casing spanned the three beams so that the insulation doesn't get crushed from the weight of the condenser units. Mr. Casey stated that they were 4' x 6'; however, Mr. Goudreau stated that the corridor, that the units will be spanning over is 8' wide. Ms. Mebert suggested that Mr. Casey get the exact cut specs.

Otis Perry moved and Jason Hindle seconded the approval of Eckhardt & Johnson for the two condenser units for Phase I of the project, price subject to the gas by-pass and cut specs.

An oral **VOTE PASSED: 4 – 0**

- e. Mr. Goudreau suggested approving as an entire package with the A/C for the gym, which does not include pricing for Phase II condenser pad. Ms. Beloin stated since we have not heard from all parties on multiple pricing, we should do the AHU without the coil, it is important to have the condensers in place and the coils can always be purchased at a later date.
- f. Ms. Mebert asked if everything had been completed regarding the drainage over at Garrison. Mr. Casey stated that all the parts were ordered and came in on October 31st, so the drainage system should be completed soon.

Otis Perry moved and Marjorie Fisher seconded Change Order #3 for the Site work Drainage in the amount of \$22,770.00

An oral **VOTE PASSED: 4 – 0**

- g. Ms. Verville received information regarding the 3 canopies at Garrison; however, the information was not included in the JBC package due to receiving the information too late. Ms. Verville was

given a price of \$21,417⁰⁰ for all 3 canopies; however, Ms. Verville asked Ms. Beloin if there was a way to go back to the subcontractors to see if they could do better on price. Ms. Beloin stated that the subcontractors are not very good at giving credits back; however, she could get back to us with the exact numbers quoted. Ms. Verville then asked if the numbers quoted were for larger canopies; Mr. Goudreau stated that the canopies were 8' x 4-5' and were tube steel supports and sloped with a Kalwall top and flashing to the masonry. Ms. Mebert verified that it would cost approximately \$7,000⁰⁰ per canopy and Mr. Goudreau stated that was correct. Mr. Goudreau also asked how much we really needed the canopies because the cores needed to be grouted and steel put in place before any of the masonry work could be done. He also mentioned that whether or not a door had a canopy over it, if the wind was blowing, it would not keep rain or snow from hitting the doors. Mr. Perry also stated that during the winter, snow would still need to be shoveled and there is always the possibility of ice building up under the canopies because the sun would be blocked from melting any buildup under the canopies. Ms. Beloin stated that during Phase I of the construction out of 2 doors without canopies, only one was leaking. Ms. Beloin and Mr. Casey noticed that under the door that was leaking, it was a bristle brush and not rubber on the underside of the door. Ms. Verville stated that going with the 3 canopies would still keep us within budget for the project, Mr. Perry was wondering if the benefits outweighed the costs. Dr. O'Connor was wondering about a decorative wood in place of steel; Mr. Goudreau stated that the steel is at \$11,000⁰⁰ for all three canopies, however, there still needs to be some sort of connector to the block. Mr. Goudreau mentioned that if we used wood, it would be harder to get a good seal near the masonry and with any rain or melting snow, it would follow the wood at an angle and move towards the block anyways. He also mentioned that with wood there is always the strong possibility of rot and thus, would need to be replaced sooner than the steel. Dr. O'Connor asked about a canvas awning like some of the area businesses use, which would cut down on the cost; however, he is also aware that the canvas would have to be replaced approximately every 2-3 years. Dr. O'Connor also mentioned that over at the Middle School, the front doors do not have a canopy over them and the bottoms are rotting out after only 6 years. Dr. O'Connor also mentioned that there are two doors that are covered by 5-8' canopies and there is not rust or rotting out on the bottom of those doors and also mentioned that the canopies were covered by asphalt. Ms. Mebert asked if these were doors that were heavily used; Dr. O'Connor stated that they were rarely used; they are at the end of corridors and are more for emergency use. Ms. Mebert asked if there was a motion; Ms. Fisher asked if this was something that could be tabled until a later date. Mr. Perry asked if there was a possibility to look at different designs. Mr. Casey asked about drip caps; Mr. Goudreau stated that the drip caps drop down a little more; Ms. Beloin stated that she was having someone come out to look at alternatives.

Marjorie Fisher moved; in hearing no second, the canopies were not approved

- h. Mr. Goudreau stated that at the last minute he heard from his engineer, WV Engineering regarding the pricing from Martineau Electric. He stated that Mr. Whippie looked at the breakdown that Mr. Martineau provided for electrical services required for Phase I and Phase II. The HVAC from the new service entrance, new panel and hookup of 3 units was \$28,131⁰⁰; for wiring in the new electric room the cost would be \$2,286⁰⁰; which would include lights, outlets, emergency lighting, etc. The cost for the service entrance to the cutover would cost \$47,146⁰⁰. Mr. Whippie stated that these were very close to the price range that he came up with the means vs cost using construction data. Mr. Goudreau also mentioned that Mr. Martineau also gave a quote for the backboards, bleachers and curtain for a total of \$10,325⁰⁰, which is a reasonable price. The total price for Martineau Electric to do all of the above work, which covers both Phase I & Phase II of the electrical, is \$87,887⁰⁰, plus Beloin Construction mark-up for a total cost of \$109,671⁰⁰. Mr. Goudreau did mention that this cost did not include the electrical for the condensers. Also, PSNH would be responsible for hook-up from the transformer out to the street.

Jason Hindle moved and Otis Perry seconded the amount of \$109,671.00 for the electrical work by Martineau Electric

An oral **VOTE PASSED: 4 – 0**

- i. Mr. Casey stated that there were three prices originally given for both Phase I & Phase II for the fire alarm system; Northwind Electric did complete Phase I. However, due to an overpayment on Phase I, there is a credit of \$7,380⁰⁰, which will be applied to the first requisition for Phase II. There is a slight increase from the original price quoted for Phase II in the amount of \$1,820⁰⁰ for the increase of material costs since June 2004. Mr. Goudreau stated that it was pretty gutsy for Northwind Electric to hold the original price quoted for 1½ years.

Otis Perry moved and Marjorie Fisher seconded payment in the amount of \$1,820⁰⁰ for the increase in cost for materials to Northwind Electric

An oral **VOTE PASSED: 4 – 0**

- j. Ms. Verville stated that a quote for the security alarm was obtained from Burns Security Inc. only, where they are currently the company that handles the security systems for all schools in the district. A quote of \$2,700⁰⁰ was given for the new wing and new office area.

Jason Hindle moved and Marjorie Fisher seconded payment in the amount of \$2,700⁰⁰ to Burns Security

An oral **VOTE PASSED: 4 – 0**

Ms. Verville gave an update of monies remaining after all approved encumbrances made this evening; the amount remaining is \$132,260⁰⁰.

Mr. Goudreau asked if the JBC members were the ones to make decision on the gym striping; Ms. Verville stated that this portion should be addressed to Mr. Wotton, Athletics Director and Gary Bannon, Recreation Program Superintendent.

G. NEXT MEETING: The next meeting for the JBC will be held on Thursday, December 1, 2005 at 5:00 p.m. at Garrison Elementary School in the “new” library.

H. ADJOURNMENT: Marjorie Fisher moved and Jason Hindle seconded adjournment of the JBC Committee at 6:00 p.m. An oral **VOTE PASSED: 4 – 0.**

Respectfully submitted,

Carolyn Mebert, Chair
Join Building Committee
CM/pb