

DOVER PLANNING BOARD  
MINUTES OF MEETING

HELD: Tuesday, October 31, 1972  
6:45 p.m.  
Municipal Courtroom  
Municipal Building  
Dover, New Hampshire

ITEM NO. 1: ROLL CALL:

On Roll Call the following were present: Chairman Frank O. Estes, Mrs. Lois M. Schofield, Clerk, Mr. Wallace I. Akerman, Mr. R. Normand Alie, Mr. Leon G. Yeaton, Mr. Harry N. Griffin.

Also attending was Planning Director Christopher Sheridan.

ITEM NO. 2: CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED REVISION TO USE REGULATIONS IN THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO PERMIT "COUNTY BUILDINGS" IN AGRICULTURAL, OFFICE, B-1, AND B-2 ZONING DISTRICTS:

Following a public hearing on this revision held in the City Council Chambers, the Board discussed the testimony received and the report on this proposed revision submitted by the Planning Director.

Moved by Mrs. Schofield, seconded by Griffin, to recommend to the City Council disapproval of the proposed revision to the Zoning Ordinance, entitled Ordinance 24-72. The vote was 4-2, with Mr. Yeaton and Mr. Estes voting no.

ITEM NO. 3:

Following an extended discussion, it was moved by Akerman, seconded by Griffin, to submit to the City Council a recommendation that the proposed revision to the Zoning Ordinance, Ordinance 24-72, be modified as follows: The use "County buildings" would be permitted in respective zoning districts as follows:

<u>A</u>	<u>R-1</u>	<u>R-2</u>	<u>R-2B</u>	<u>R-3</u>	<u>O</u>	<u>B-1</u>	<u>B-2</u>	<u>B-3</u>	<u>I-1</u>	<u>I-2</u>
N*	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	N

\*Insert at the proper location in the Zoning Ordinance the following footnote:

County buildings are permitted in Agricultural zones only as a "Special Exception" to be granted by the Zoning Board of Adjustment upon the applicant's satisfactorily demonstrating the following:

-- The unavailability of other suitably zoned land for the proposed use;

-- That the specific site is an appropriate location for the proposed use;

-- The adequacy of municipal or private utility systems;

-- The adequacy of the existing road network and parking facilities to serve such uses both on a short and a long range basis;

-- That the proposed use will not be detrimental, injurious, noxious, or offensive to the surrounding neighborhood, or be detrimental to neighboring property values;

-- That the proposed use is consistent with the spirit and intent of the Zoning Ordinance.

The vote was 5-0.

ITEM NO. 4: ADJOURNMENT:

Moved by Griffin, seconded by Alie, to adjourn.  
Unanimously adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

Lois M. Schofield  
Clerk

LMS:c